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ABSTRACT

When an ultra-intense relativistic laser is irradiated on a solid target, terahertz (THz) pulses can be generated by coherent transition radiation when the laser-driven electron beams cross the rear surface of the target. The radiation energy depends on the number and energy of the electrons. By introducing a milli-joule picosecond ablation laser pulse, an underdense preplasma with a scale length of micrometers is generated at the front surface of the target. Electron beams with more charge and higher energy can be produced during the interaction between the following main laser pulse and the preplasma, which enhance the THz radiation and affect the radiation angle. Two dimensional particle-in-cell simulations demonstrate the improvement of electron beams and a nearly tenfold enhancement of THz radiation energy is observed.

Published under license by AIP Publishing.

I. INTRODUCTION

High power terahertz (THz) sources are important tools in many research fields including materials science, biomedical imaging, wireless communication, and astronomy. THz radiation can be generated by various approaches, such as photoconductive antenna, optical rectification, and dual-color-laser-excited air plasma. THz yield from these approaches increases with the pump laser intensity and saturates at the laser intensity around 10^{15} \text{W/cm}^2.

Instead of being limited by the saturation of THz sources driven by pump laser pulses with a nonrelativistic intensity, THz yield from relativistic electron does not show any tendency of saturation. Based on the transition radiation, synchrotron radiation, diffraction radiation, and Cherenkov radiation of relativistic electron beams, strong THz radiation has been realized theoretically and experimentally. Among the several methods mentioned above, transition radiation has the advantage of achieving THz source with high energy. Recently, the experiment has reported that the energy of THz radiation from relativistic electron beams by transition radiation based on conventional linear accelerator has reached 600 \mu J/pulse. However, the size scale of the conventional large accelerator definitely limits the relevant applications of these kinds of THz sources, which appeals to an alternative more feasible way to generate the THz radiation.

With the rapid progress of the state-of-the-art laser technology, the laser intensity has already been beyond the criterion of relativistic intensity, i.e., 10^{18} \text{W/cm}^2. Based on the interaction between relativistically intense laser pulses and plasmas, the generated compact THz resources will significantly reduce the volume and cost of the facilities. This ultrashort THz radiation is predominantly emitted by the energetic electron beams which are produced from laser–gas and laser–solid interactions. In laser–gas interactions, electrons can be accelerated to GeV magnitude and THz pulses with energy of ~0.3 \mu J have been generated. In laser–solid interactions, THz radiation can be generated by various mechanisms: At the front side of the target, THz radiation is attributed to the mode conversion and the transient current at the plasma–vacuum interface. As the scale length of preplasma changes, the dominant laser–plasma
interaction is different (e.g., from resonance absorption to parametric instabilities) and the terahertz radiation yield varies while at the rear side of the target, terahertz radiation is produced through coherent transition radiation (CTR) when hot electrons cross the target–vacuum surface. Due to the high density of solid, electron beams with charge up to \( \mu \text{C} \)–\( \text{nC} \) can be generated and THz radiations with energies up to 50 mJ have been reported.

It should be noticed that the energy conversion efficiency from pump laser pulse to THz radiation in laser–solid interactions is small, for example, in the magnitude of 0.01% (Ref. 32) from femtosecond laser interacting with a foil target. The theory of CTR indicates that the radiation energy is proportional to \( N(N - 1) \), where \( N \) is the number of electrons in the generated ultrashort electron pulse. Therefore, a key method to enhance THz yield is to increase the charge of electron beams to improve the coherence effect, which means one can either use laser pulses with higher intensity or increase the energy deposition rate.

In order to raise the energy deposition from the laser pulse to electron beams, we propose to utilize the preplasma generated by the laser target ablation to improve the laser-plasma coupling efficiency. As far as we know, the effect of large preplasma scale length (larger than 5 \( \mu \text{m} \)) on terahertz radiation behind the target has never been studied. It is worth pointing out that the effects of laser contrast ratio and large scale preplasma on terahertz radiation in front of the target have been experimentally investigated. Here, our schematic of setup is shown in Fig. 1. First, the ablation pulse induces ablation on the front surface of an aluminum target and the preplasma with micrometers density scale length is produced; then the relativistic ablation pulse when the target ablation takes place. Through the numerical calculation of THz radiation energy, a nearly tenfold enhancement is observed in a large angular range.

**II. COHERENT TRANSITION RADIATION BY ELECTRON BEAMS**

When electrons cross the plasma–vacuum boundary, a lateral polarization current will be generated at the interface due to the dielectric discontinuity, thereby emitting transition radiation. In the incidence plane, the energy spectrum of the transition radiation from a single electron passing through a target surface can be written as

\[
\frac{d^3 \varepsilon}{d\Omega dE} = \frac{e^2}{\pi^2 c} |S(\beta, \phi, \phi)|^2, \tag{1}
\]

where \( \varepsilon \) is the electron charge, \( c \) is the light velocity in vacuum, \( \beta \) is the normalized velocity, \( \phi \) is the electron injection direction, and \( \phi \) is the observation direction, and

\[
S(\beta, \phi, \phi) = \frac{\beta \cos \phi (\sin \phi - \beta \sin \phi)}{(1 - \beta \sin \phi \sin \phi)^2} - (\beta \cos \phi \cos \phi)^2. \tag{2}
\]

Angular distribution of transition radiation is plotted in Fig. 2, where the electron is perpendicularly injected into the dense plasma–vacuum interface. There are two symmetric peaks on either side of the incidence direction. It can be seen that when the electron has low energy such as 0.1 MeV, the radiation is strongest along the target surface. When the electron carries larger kinetic energy, e.g., 10 MeV, the radiation becomes more collimated and close to the electron injection direction.

For a pulsed beam with \( N \) electrons inside the bunch and a density distribution function of \( g(p) \), where \( p \) is the momentum of electron, the radiation emitted per unit solid angle per unit angular frequency by the particle beam crossing the boundary is given by

\[
\frac{d^3 W}{d\Omega d\varepsilon} = \frac{e^2 N}{\pi^2 c} \left[ \left| \int d^3 p (\hat{r}_0^2 + \hat{r}_1^2) g(p) \right|^2 + \left| \int d^3 p g(p) e_1 F \right|^2 \right], \tag{3}
\]
where $\mathcal{E}_1$ and $\mathcal{E}_\perp$ are the Fourier transforms of electric field in the parallel and perpendicular radiation planes. $F$ is the spatial form factor of electron beams which is correlated with the geometry of the beam.\textsuperscript{54}

On the right-hand side of the equation, the first term is incoherent transition radiation (ITR) and the second term is CTR.

The electron beams generated during laser–solid interactions are usually considered to have a waist of a few micrometers and a duration of femtoseconds to picoseconds, which are consistent with spot size and pulse duration of the driven laser. Both the radius and duration are shorter than the wavelength of 30 THz (10 μm). In addition, the incoherent transition radiation ($\propto N$) is many orders of magnitudes weaker than the coherent transition radiation ($\propto N(N-1)$). As a result, the dominant THz generation mechanism at the rear surface of the target is considered to be CTR for 0.1–30 THz.

### III. SIMULATION RESULTS

In our study, an ablation laser pulse of table envelope with 200 ps duration and 25 mJ energy is irradiated on the front surface of an aluminum foil target with a 2.5 μm thickness and 2.7 g/cm$^3$ density. The laser intensity is $2.1 \times 10^{12}$ W/cm$^2$. The ablated preplasma is calculated by the hydrodynamic code MULTI.\textsuperscript{55} Figure 3 shows simulation results of the preplasma after different ablation times: (a) density, (b) electron temperature, (c) ionization degree, and (d) the collision frequency. We can see that the expanded near critical density preplasma with a scale of tens of micrometers is generated in the target front surface in the condition with ablation, while the rear surface still keeps a relatively sharp density interface. The collision frequency is calculated by the equation\textsuperscript{56} $\nu_{ci} \approx 2.91 \times 10^{-6} Z_n^2 T_e^{-3/2} \ln \Lambda (s^{-1})$, where $Z_n$, $n_e$, and $T_e$ represent the ionization degree, electron density, and electron temperature, respectively. Here, $\Lambda$ is estimated to be 5.0 according to the temperature and density of electron by the equation $\ln \Lambda = 22.36 + \frac{1}{2} \ln \frac{Z}{T(eV)} - \frac{1}{2} \ln \frac{n(\text{cm}^{-3})}$.

FIG. 2. Angular distributions of the transition radiation generated by a single electron with different energies of 0.1 MeV (green), 1.0 MeV (blue), and 10.0 MeV (red). Each distribution is normalized by its own maximum.
FIG. 3. One dimensional (1d) MULTI Simulation results. (a) Electron density profiles for different time delays between the main pulse and the ablation pulse. (b) and (c) are the profiles for electron temperature and ionization degree simulated by MULTI 1d. (d) Collision frequency between electron and ion calculated from the three distributions above.

FIG. 4. (a) The spatial distribution of magnetic field Bz at time $t = 225$ fs in the condition with 200 ps ablation, the laser pulse undergoes self-focusing, and most of its energy is transferred to preplasma. (b) The spatial distribution of magnetic field Bz at time $t = 300$ fs in the condition without ablation; the majority of the laser pulse is reflected. (c) Energy spectra of forward hot electrons ($P_x > 0, E > 0.1$ MeV) in the target at $t = 250$ fs for both conditions. (d) The total energy of forward electrons vs the time delay between the ablation pulse and the main pulse.
and gets to saturation when the time delay is above 100 ps. The reason might be the preplasma is long enough for all laser energy transferring into preplasma electrons.

Figure 5 is the angular-spectral distribution of electrons at \( t = 250 \) fs. \( \Psi \) represents the direction of electron motion calculated by \( P_x/P_y \), where \( P_x \) and \( P_y \) are the momentum of electrons in x and y direction, respectively. Compared with the without ablation condition, the electrons show two distinct distributions in the condition of 200 ps ablation. For the electrons with energy less than 10 MeV and large divergence angle, the charge is enhanced significantly, indicating the increase in energy conversion efficiency from laser to electrons. In the meantime, collimated electrons with energy higher than 20 MeV are generated via the mechanism of DLA.47–52

Snapshots of the magnetic fields \( B_z \) at the simulation time of 400 fs are shown in Fig. 6(a) without ablation and (b) with 200 ps ablation. In the without ablation case, multiple peaks occur in both forward and backward radiation due to the hot electron refluxing processes.59 When forward electrons cross the rear surface of the target, the first forward THz pulse is produced and most electrons are pulled back by the static electric field near the rear surface. When those electrons cross the front surface of the target, backward radiation is generated, and then the majority of electrons are dragged back by the static electric field near the front surface, generating the second forward THz pulse. While with the present of the ablation preplasma, forward radiation field becomes dominant.

The spectra of forward radiation electromagnetic fields in Fig. 6(b) are calculated by fast Fourier transform (FFT) and shown in Fig. 7, which are detected 30 \( \mu \)m away from the laser irradiated spot in the rear surface of the target. Note that this distance is long enough to avoid the interruption of the quasi-static fields produced around the target surface and the noises generated by the target expansion. Here, probe points in the directions larger than 75° are neglected because they are much close to the target surface. Frequency components vary with the detected direction: radiation in large angles is dominant at low frequency (below 10 THz) in the angles between around 5° and 30°, and high frequency components (above 20 THz) become strong and the frequency has a multi-peak structure; this is because in this angular range, there are several secondary weak pulses after the first pulse, which can be seen in Fig. 6(b) as well. The multi-peak profile of field in time domain leads to a multi-peak structure in the frequency spectrum. According to Eq. (2), the corresponding electron energy is around 1~10 MeV, which is in good agreement with Fig. 4.
The energy of THz radiation is calculated by squared amplitude of the Fourier transform of the signal and is then summed up from 0.1 to 30 THz in frequency. The energy angular distribution at the forward direction is illustrated in Fig. 8. It can be seen that for both cases, the radiation is more intense near the target surface because most of the hot electrons have a relatively low energy and their strongest transition radiation is along the target surface. In the condition of 200 ps ablation, the THz radiation is enhanced by approximately one order of magnitude due to both charge and energy increment of electrons. The total energy of THz radiation between $675/14$ is increased by 8.2 times.

IV. CONCLUSION

In conclusion, by introducing a 200 ps mJ ablation pulse before the main laser pulse, an under and near critical ablation preplasma with a scale of tens of micrometers is generated. As a result, the charge and energy of the electrons are substantially improved due to the high energy coupling efficiency between the main laser and the preplasma. Frequency spectra show that the THz radiation is better confined, but it is still distributed in a wide angular range. Moreover, the dominant frequency of the THz radiation is below 10 THz. The angular distribution of THz energy indicates a nearly tenfold enhancement compared to the condition without the target ablation.
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